



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,498	09/29/2006	Kevin Taylor	007412.00070	9858
71867	7590	09/25/2009	EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF , LTD			SHEPARD, JUSTIN E	
ATTORNEYS FOR CLIENT NUMBER 007412				
1100 13th STREET, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1200				2424
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/599,498	TAYLOR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Justin E. Shepard	2424	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Justin E. Shepard. (3) _____.

(2) William Allen. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Addington and Eshun.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant and examiner discussed the interpretation of Addington and Eshun but did not come to an agreement. The examiner suggested that the applicant send in an amendment so that claim language can be figured out that will overcome the prior art and move the prosecution forward.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Christopher Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424
--	--